

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,924	01/29/2004	Takeshi Nomura	396.43428X00	2651
20457	7590 03/17/2006		EXAM	INER
	I, TERRY, STOUT &	TRAN, T	TRAN, THAO T	
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800			PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22209-3873		1711	•

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/765,924	NOMURA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thao T. Tran	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICA	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0.4 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the con	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	•
Disposition of Claims	or an parto quayro, 1000 o.a	, 100 0.0. 210.
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,11 and 15 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,11 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Example 100 ☐ The decision of the content of t	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cond 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to the Amendments filed on 01/04/2006.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 11, and 15 are currently pending in this application. Claims 3-10, 12-14, and 16 have been canceled. Claim 1 has been amended in this Reply.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. In view of the Office action of 10/04/2005, the rejections of claims 1-2, 11, and 15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraphs, has been withdrawn due to the Amendments made thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 11, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tawa et al. (EP 1081170) in view of Otani et al. (JP 58-204018).

Tawa discloses a gas barrier film, comprising a base film layer and a polyurethane resin layer (see abstract). The base film layer comprises polyolefin or polyester (see paragraph 0059). The polyurethane resin is obtained by reacting a diisocyanate, such as xylylene diisocyanate, a diol, such as C2-8 alkylene glycol, and a diamine. The polyurethane resin contains repeating units of a hydrocarbon ring derived from an aromatic compound at a proportion of about 20-60%

by weight (see paragraphs 0011-0012, 0014, 0045-0046), which appears to read on the instantly claimed range of the recited formula (1). Note that the aromatic ring units may be derived from the diol or diamine component (see paragraphs 0045-0046). A diamine such as xylylenediamine is used as a chain extender or a crosslinker (see paragraph 0026). The sum of the diol and diamine components is about 0.85 to 1.15 mol relative to 1 mol of diisocyanate (see paragraph 0030), which reads on the instantly claimed range.

However, Tawa does not disclose the use of an alkylene oxide adduct of xylylenediamine in the preparation of the polyurethane.

Otani discloses an excellent heat-resistant polyurethane resin prepared by reacting an alkylene oxide adduct of xylylenediamine alone or together with a different polyfunctional polyol, with an aromatic polyisocyanate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have employed the alkylene oxide adduct of xylenediamine, as taught by Otani, in the preparation of the polyurethane resin of Tawa, for the purpose of enhancing heat-resistant of the gas barrier layer.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/04/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument that the reference of Otani is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, Art Unit: 1711

in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, although Otani only mentions that the polyurethane would enhance heat resistance, it has been known that increasing heat resistance would also directly related to enhancing gas barrier properties.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5

USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Otani is used to illustrate that polyurethane formed from a polyisocyanate and an alkylene oxide adduct of xylylenediamine has been taught in the prior art. The polyurethane used in Otani is to enhance heat resistance, which is directly related to gas barrier properties. Thus, Otani is used to remedy Tawa; and the combination of Tawa and Otani is proper.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 1711

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1080. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 9:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt

March 15, 2006

Thao Tran

THAOT. TRAN
PATENT EXAMINER